and fortify the natural defenses of the body against acute and chronic endotoxic

infections when so administered.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that representations in the labeling that it would be efficacious in the treatment of infections of the endotoxic type by activating the natural defenses were false and misleading since it would not be efficacious for such purposes. It was alleged to be misbranded further in that it was offered for sale under the name of another drug, namely, under the name previously given to an article containing substantially different ingredients and intended for use in the cure, mitigation, treatment, or prevention of disease in man.

The article, with the exception of that contained in 8 boxes each containing 10 10-cc. vials, was alleged to be misbranded further in that the label failed to bear

the common or usual name of each active ingredient.

On October 7, 1940, the case having been called and the claimant having failed to appear or answer, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

361. Misbranding of World's Tonic Compound with Alkalines. U. S. v. 64 Packages of World's Tonic Compound with Alkalines. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 2672. Sample No. 27270–E.)

The labeling of this product bore false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the conditions indicated hereinafter, and it also failed to bear an

accurate statement of the quantity or proportion of strychnine present.

On August 28, 1940, the United States attorney for the Northern District of West Virginia filed a libel against 64 packages of the above-named product at Parkersburg, W. Va., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about July 6, 1940, by the World's Medicine Co. from Columbus, Ohio; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis showed that the article consisted essentially of extracts of plant drugs including sassafras, licorice, and laxative plant drugs such as aloe and emodin-bearing drugs, together with alcohol (12 percent), a small quantity of iron, strychnine (not over 0.004 grain per fluid ounce, equivalent to 0.36 grain of nux

vomica), and a very small proportion of alkaline substances.

It was alleged to be misbranded in that the following and similar statements appearing in the labeling were false and misleading since it was essentially a laxative and could not serve as a tonic or as a source of alkalies: (Carton, bottle, and circular) "World's Tonic Compound With Alkalines"; (circular) "Contains a combination of especially selected herbs, barks and roots, vegetable in origin and recognized for their merit. All Roots, Barks, Herbs, etc., used in World's Tonic and imported from Foreign Countries are Examined by the United States Department of Agriculture. * * * The number of bottles of World's Tonic Compound with alkalines one should take to bring about the best results varies according to the condition of the person." It was alleged to be misbranded further in that the label did not bear a statement of the quantity or proportion of strychnine since the statements "Nux Vomica 3 grains to each fluid ounce, containing 1.15% of a grain of strychnine to each grain of nux vomica," borne on the label, was incorrect.

On January 7, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

362. Misbranding of hair tonic. U. S. v. 72 Pint Bottles of Red Fox Quinine Hair Tonic. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 3621. Sample No. 20735-E.)

The labels of this product failed to bear a statement of the active ingredients and an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents, and some failed to bear a statement of the quantity or proportion of alcohol. Moreover, it would not be efficacious as a hair tonic as represented on the labels, nor would it be efficacious for the relief of dandruff as represented on some of the labels.

On January 2, 1941, the United States attorney for the Southern District of Florida filed a libel against 72 pint bottles of Red Fox Quinine Hair Tonic at Jacksonville, Fla., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce from Brooklyn, N. Y., by the Healox Co., Inc., on or about November 12, 1940; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis showed that it consisted essentially of alcohol, water, and small

amounts of brucine and perfume material. It contained no quinine.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the statements "Quinine Hair Tonic" on all the labels, and "Relieves Dandruff," appearing on some of the

labels were false and misleading, since it was not efficacious for the purposes recommended.

It was alleged to be misbranded further in that its label did not bear an accurate statement of the quantity of the contents; and in that the label did not bear the common or usual names of the active ingredients, and some of the labels did not bear a statement of the quantity or proportion of alcohol that it contained.

On March 11, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation

was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

363. Misbranding of Wonder Dandruff Cure. U. S. v. 69 Bottles of Wonder Dandruff Cure. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 3721. Sample No. 52198-E.)

The label of this product contained false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the conditions indicated below. It also failed to bear a statement of the quantity and proportion of alcohol and arsenic and an accurate

statement of the quantity of contents.

On January 29, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of Oregon filed a libel against 69 bottles of Wonder Dandruff Cure at Eugene, Oreg., alleging that the article had been shipped on or about August 3, 1940, by the Wonder Dandruff Cure Co. from Cedar Rapids, Iowa; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis of a sample of the article showed that it was an artificially colored,

perfumed aqueous fluid containing arsenic, alcohol, and glycerin.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that the following statements appearing in the labeling were false and misleading since it was not efficacious for the purposes recommended: "Wonder Dandruff Cure * * * positively eradicates dandruff, restores lifeless hair to a healthy natural condition and prevents it from coming out, stops irritation and itching of the scalp. The Wonder Dandruff Cure Company. Apply to scalp with fingers not more than three times a week until dandruff disappears."

It was alleged to be misbranded further in that the label did not bear the common or usual name of each active ingredient, an accurate statement of the quantity and proportion of alcohol, nor the quantity or proportion of arsenic or

any derivative or preparation of arsenic.

On March 11, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

364. Misbranding of Marie de Medicis Scalp Food. U. S. v. 9½2 Dozen Retail Packages of Marie de Medicis Scalp Food. Default decree of condemnation and destruction. (F. D. C. No. 3976. Sample No. 28151–E.)

The labeling of this product bore false and misleading representations regard-

ing its efficacy in the conditions indicated hereinafter.

On March 14, 1941, the United States attorney for the District of Maryland filed a libel against 9½ dozen retail packages of Marie de Medicis Scalp Food at Baltimore, Md., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about September 30, 1940, from Philadelphia, Pa., by Marie de Medicis Products Co.; and charging that it was misbranded.

Analysis showed that the article consisted of a perfumed brown ointment

containing free sulfur, lanolin, and petrolatum.

The article was alleged to be misbranded in that representations in the labeling regarding its efficacy to make the hair beautiful and healthy, to nourish the scalp, to loosen a dry scalp; and its efficacy in the treatment of dandruff, falling hair, itching scalp, and various scalp ills, were false and misleading since it was not efficacious for the purposes recommended. It was alleged to be misbranded further in that it was fabricated from two or more ingredients and the label did not bear the common or usual name of each active ingredient.

On April 16, 1941, no claimant having appeared, judgment of condemnation

was entered and the product was ordered destroyed.

365. Misbranding of Iodimelk. U. S. v. 151½ Gallons of Iodimelk. Consent decree of condemnation. Product ordered released under bond to be relabeled. (F. D. C. No. 2400. Sample No. 4526–E.)

The labeling of this veterinary product contained false and misleading representations regarding its efficacy in the conditions indicated below, and it also failed to bear certain information required by law.

On or about July 26, 1940, the United States attorney for the Northern District of Illinois filed a libel against 1511/2 gallons of Iodimelk at Chicago, Ill., alleging that the article had been shipped in interstate commerce on or about June 8,